

From the Christianity Confronting the Culture Initiative

“RECLAIMING OUR RADICALIZED AMERICA: LIVING IN A JUDICIALIZED POST-LAW AMERICA”

*BLACKSTONE MICROBLITZ, SET #1: “RECLAIMING OUR RADICALIZED AMERICA:
AN OVERVIEW”*

PURPOSE OF THIS STUDY

To educate, empower, and engage you, America’s leaders and laymen, to effectively define and defend the Judeo-Christian worldview of law and the Constitution in our contemporary court-dominated (“judicialized”) America. Humanism has increasingly uprooted our Judeo-Christian taproot in today’s Culture Wars, radicalizing our nation into a “post-law” America. As defenders of our precious taproot, we must reclaim America and revive our Judeo-Christian taproot to be again our national anchor. It is to that vital goal that this study is dedicated.

PROGRAM OF THIS STUDY

The Constitutional Reclamation Amendments are the capstone goal of this study. But the herculean challenge of succeeding in their adoption requires that we as Judeo-Christian advocates have the weapons of truth and knowledge required for this battle. This Study #1 arms you with a powerful arsenal of those weapons. The courses included in this study include:

“Reclaiming our Radicalized America” MicroBlitz #1 Courses

- #1 - “Reclaiming our Radicalized America: An Overview
- #2 - “Reclaiming Our Radicalized America: The Radicalizing Revolution”
- #3 - “Reclaiming our Radicalized Taproot: Defending Our Judeo-Christian Taproot”
- #4 - “Reclaiming America’s Taproot of Truth: The Voter’s Mandate And Muscle”
- #5 - “Reclaiming America’s Dynamic Duo: Our Radicalized Declaration and Constitution”
- #6 - “Reclaiming America’s Radicalized Judiciary: Courting Justice and the Rule of Law”

PARTICIPATION IN THIS STUDY

WHY: Blackstone’s MicroBlitz courses are unique and powerful: (1) each one is only two hours long; (2) both individuals and groups can utilize these Sets; (3) students may choose titles of interest to them, through the “Overview” must be taken first; (4) students completing a certain number of Courses with demonstrated competence may be awarded a certificate with additional benefits involved.

WHEN: This Study will be formally launched in the spring, 2025. Check BlackstoneInstitute.org for latest reports.

Virginia Armstrong, Ph.D.

President/Professor, Blackstone Institute for Law & Worldview; Affiliate Professor, Constitutional Apologetics, Houston Christian University; National Director, Eagle Forum’s Law & Worldview Program

Catherine Morris

National Asst. Director, Eagle Forum’s Law & Worldview Program; Program Director, Blackstone’s MicroBlitz series; Nebraska GOP officer; Homeschooling organizer and leader

www.BlackstoneInstitute.org



“RECLAIMING OUR RADICALIZED AMERICA: THE CONSTITUTIONAL RECLAMATION AMENDMENTS OF 2024”

Virginia Armstrong, Ph.D. www.BlackstoneInstitute.org

THE RECLAMATION AND PROTECTION OF PERSONHOOD AMENDMENT

1. For all legal purposes in the United States, the word “person” or any derivative thereof, denotes the individual human being, uniquely created, from the moment of conception to the point of natural death.
2. Abortion illegitimately takes away an innocent human life and is unconstitutional.
3. References to “evolution,” evolutionary theory, or any derivative denoting the theory of origins of man and the universe by Charles Darwin, reduce “persons/men” to animals. Therefore:
 - a. Positive references to evolution/Darwinism with no reference to problems or possible alternatives to this theory are to be expunged from the public schools and the public square in general (e.g., pro-evolution postings in national parks presented as “historical explanations” for the sights being viewed by visitors); OR
 - b. Any reference to “evolution” as defined as above must be couched in a context making it clear that Darwinism related theories are just that - “theories” only, with major scientific weaknesses, and facing challenges from non-evolutionary thought, scientific evidence, etc.; OR
 - c. Positive references to evolutionism may be legally valid IF accompanied by accurate statements of Creationism (including reference to “God,” with or without reference to “intelligent design”) and with a context positive toward Creationism that makes the two concepts of origins equivalent in their presentation.
4. No court may rely on any foreign or international source. And no court may interpret the terms/phrases of this Amendment in any sense other than the common understanding of the words in English constitutional and common law and American law at the time of the adoption of the United States Constitution, or (re: post-Constitutionally developed terms/phrases) in a sense consistent with the Constitution Era understanding of terms relevant to newer terms/phrases.

THE RECLAMATION AND PROTECTION OF HUMAN SEXUALITY AMENDMENT

1. For all legal purposes in the United States, the term “sex” or any derivative thereof – and only this term - is to be used where such a term is needed. More contemporary constructs, such as those related to “gender” or derivatives thereof, have no legal validity.
2. “Sex” is biological and binary in nature. A person’s sex is determined by physical characteristics with which he/she is born; no one can “choose” his/her “sex.” “Sex” exists in only two forms – male and female.
3. In American law, any usage of the term “marriage” refers only to a legal union between one man and one woman as husband (the male) and wife (the female); and the word “spouse” refers only to a person of the opposite sex who is a husband or wife.
4. “Same sex marriage” is an oxymoron and is unconstitutional. No “alternative arrangement” such as a “civil union,” nor any grant to any unmarried person of the legal rights or status of a spouse is constitutional.
5. Neither the term “transgenderism” nor any of its derivatives thereof, nor any other construct included now or in the future in the “LGBTQ” formulation, has any legal validity under American law.
6. No “sex change” procedure can legally require any third party to bear any expense related to any transgendering procedure, either during said procedure(s) or after said procedure(s).
7. No court may rely on any foreign or international source. And no court may interpret the terms/phrases of this Amendment in any sense other than the common understanding of the words in English constitutional and common law and American law at the time of the adoption of the United States Constitution, or (re: post-Constitutionally developed terms/phrases) in a sense consistent with the Constitution Era understanding of terms relevant to newer terms/phrases.

THE RECLAMATION AND PROTECTION OF RELIGIOUS LIBERTIES AMENDMENT

1. The U. S. Constitution protects the public acknowledgement of “God” as understood in English and American founding legal documents, including but not limited to, displays of the Ten Commandments, public recitation of the Pledge of Allegiance, and use of the motto, “In God we trust.”
2. In addition to these religious liberties, rights secured elsewhere in the U. S. Constitution and involving both private and public expressions of faith in “God” are constitutionally protected by such existing Constitutional provisions as (including but not limited to) the Establishment Clause, the Free Exercise Clause, the various Freedom of Expression Clauses, and the Equal Protection Clause.
3. No court may rely on any foreign or international source. And no court may interpret the terms/phrases of this Amendment in any sense other than the common understanding of the words in English constitutional and common law and American law at the time of the adoption of the United States Constitution, or (re: post-Constitutionally developed terms/phrases) in a sense consistent with the Constitution Era understanding of terms relevant to newer terms/phrases.